Jonathan Ebersole 235 E. Fairmount Ave. Apt. 102 State College, PA 16801

November 20, 2013 Dr. Linda Hanagan Associate Professor 212 Engineering Unit A University Park, Pa 16802

Dear Dr. Hanagan,

This document is developed to help guide you through the evaluation of alternative lateral load cases for the Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building. The purpose of this assignment is to understand the methods used to distribute the lateral forces throughout the lateral force resisting system. Strength and serviceability requirements for the lateral systems are also addressed in this assignment. The document contains a site plan of the building along with a list of codes and documents used during the analysis. This document is accompanied with calculations that derive the gravity loads as well as the lateral loads used in the analysis. Calculations for the distribution of the loads and the strength and serviceability checks are also contained within.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Ebersole

# Table of Contents

#### 1. General Information -2

- 1.1 Building Abstract 2
- 1.2 Executive Summary 3
- 1.3 Site Plan 4
- 1.4 List of Documents 4

#### 2. Gravity Loads – 6

- 2.1 Roof Loads 6
- 2.2 Floor Loads 9
- 2.3 Exterior Wall Loads 11
- 2.4 Non-typical Loads 12
- 3. Lateral Loads 13
  - 3.1 Wind Loads 13
  - 3.2 Seismic Loads 25
- 4. Lateral System Analysis 27
  - 4.1 Distribution of Loads 27
  - 4.2 Strength Checks 31
  - 4.3 Torsional Irregularities 33
- 6. Appendix A 34
- 7. Appendix B 34

# OU Children's Medical Office Building

Jonathan Ebersole Structural Option

http://www.engr.psu.edu/ae/thesis/portfolios/2014/jme5193/index.html



#### **Project Team**

- Owner: University Hospitals Trust
- Construction Manager: Flintco, Inc.
- Project Architect: Miles Associates
- Design Architect: Hellmuth, Obata, and Kassabaun, Inc.
- Structural Engineer: Zahl-Ford
- MEP Engineer: ZRHD, P.C.
- · Civil Engineer: Smith, Roberts, Baldischwiler, Inc.

#### **General Information**

- Location: 1200 North Children's Avenue, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
- Occupancy: Office
- Size: 320,000 sq. ft.
- Height: 12 Stories for a total of 172 ft.
- Construction Dates: February 2007-Spring of 2009
- Building Cost: \$59,760,000
- Delivery Method: Design-Bid-Build

#### Architecture

- Exterior Façade comprised of brick Veneer with large glass curtain wall on the front face of the building
- Supports Hospital with additional office space, exam rooms, and labs
- Membrane roof system with rigid insulation and light weight insulating concrete

#### **Structural Design**

- Reinforced concrete columns and beams
- 10" thick flat slab system with drop panels
- Concrete shear walls located in elevator shafts and stairwells
- Drilled pier foundation with a minimum bearing capacity of 45 KSF

#### **Mechanical Design**

- 7,500 CFM Air Handling unit occupies each floor
- Heat Exchanger is used to heat water before entering the heating coil
- 850 CFM fans are used to pressurize the stairwells

#### Lighting/Electrical Design

- Service voltage is 480/277 V, three phase, with 4 wires
- Voltage reduced to 120/208V, three phase, with 4 wires and supplied to each panel box
- Fluorescent lamps are used throughout the building to save energy costs

## **General Information**

## **Executive Summary**

OU Children's Medical Office Building is an office building located in Oklahoma. It is situated next to an existing hospital and parking garage. The building houses offices, examination rooms and labs for the expanding OU Children's Hospital. It is the largest free standing clinical office in the state and provides much needed medical services to the children of Oklahoma and their families.

The structure of the building is reinforced concrete. The building uses a flat slab system supported by columns and exterior beams. Drop panels are used at the column locations to provide extra shear and moment capacity to the slab. The columns are supported on piers that transfer the loads to bedrock underneath the building. The building also uses shear walls and moment frames to resist the lateral forces.

This building provides several unique challenges that a typical office building would not otherwise have. These include a parking garage located on the first floor, a future helicopter pad positioned on the roof, and impact loads on lower levels for vehicle collisions with the building. These design parameters will increase the difficulty of future design assignments as all load cases must be analyzed.



Figure A. Building outlined in red.

OU Children's Medical Office Building is located on 1200 N. Children's Avenue Oklahoma City, Oklahoma between Stanton L. Young Blvd and N.E. 13th Street. (Refer to figure A for site and building footprint). The building is twelve stories above grade and is approximately 180 feet tall. The building footprint is 22,820 square feet with a total area of 320,000 square feet. The building is positioned between an existing hospital and existing parking structure. A large atrium connects the hospital to the office building and parking structure but it is a future addition and not part of the original office construction. The building is located in an urbanized area which will later impact the design for the lateral loads.

## **List of Documents**

For this assignment, several documents where used in order to evaluate the lateral system members. The ACI 318-02 code was used to analyze the existing shear walls. I also used

examples and design aids from the sixth edition of *Reinforced Concrete Mechanics and Design* written by James Wight and James MacGregor.



	2-2
	Show Lords
-	Flat Root Snow Loads (ASCE.7-02) slope = 1/4" per foot 25° slope = fits criteria
I	pf=0.7 Ce Ct Ipg
	$C_{e} = 1.0$ $C_{e} = 1.1$ $T = 1.0$ $F_{g} = 10 \text{ psf}$
Que	pf=0.7. 10. 1.1.1.0. 10 psf =7.7 pst
And	but not less than :
	pg is 20 psf or less
	pt= I(pp) = 1.0.10=10 psf -> controls
	Show Drift Loads
	Parapet
	14= 91.29 Pt 1 = 2.5 Pt hc= 4.683 ft 2=0.13 pg=14= 0.13.10+14=15.3 pcf. ha= pf/2= 10/15.3= 0.65ft w= 4.65= 10.7t
-	he/hp= 4.683. 10.65=7.2. 20.2 is must be applied
	pd=3/4hd2=3/4.2.5.15.3=28.69pst Lwindward)
	pd.o.s. w= 28,69=0.5.10=143,45 plf
	Load combinations
	h2 D+ 0.5 Lr ors h2 D+ hu Lr ors
	Component
	Slab
	Live Load - 20 psf > 5=10 pst Dead Load - lele pst

2-3 we = 1.2(166) + 1.(2. (20)= 231.2 psf) wu=1,2(164)+0.5(20)= 209,2 psf Column Live Lood - 20 pst Lunreducable Dead Lood - 178 pst · wu= 1,2(178) + 1,(20)=[245.4 psf] WW=1,2(178)+0.5(20)=223.4 psf

2-4 1. b. Floor ~ carpet pad - Concrete structure CINEND Cross Section of Typical Floor Construction Loads Live Loads Office- 50 psf +20 psf = 70 psf (IBC 2003) Corridor - 80 psf (IBC 2003) => used to design for building flexibility Dead Loads Mater; als (Boise Cascode - Weights of Materials) - 2 psf -13 psf Carpet with Pad Superimposed Read Load Structure slab (10" thh) 150 pcf . 10 in -12Spif 150 pcf . 412 ... drop panels (4"thh) - Sps column (30" × 30") 168 in-19in) . 30in-30 150 pcf. - 15pst 12in2.26:32 Load combinations 1.2D+1.6L Components 5100 Live Load - BOpst Dead Load - 142 pst

2-5 wa=1.2(142)+1.6(80)=298.4 psp column Live Load - 80 pst - 10 L= 80 . 0.4  $m_{0.25} + \sqrt{4 \cdot 26 \cdot 32} = 0.51 \cdot 80 = 40.8 \text{ psf}$   $m_{0.25} + \sqrt{4 \cdot 26 \cdot 32} = 0.51 \cdot 80 = 40.8 \text{ psf}$ Dead Load - 162 psf CONTINUE . Wu= 1.2. 162+ 1.6. 40.8 = 259.7 psf





	2-	51
R. G. C. L. A.	Letizal Loads	24
	Wind (East-West Direction)	
	analytical Procedure	
	Rish catagory I V=90 mph Hd=0.85 Exposure category B	
	Topographic Factor	
2	1/=+=1.0 (no near by hill, ridge, or escarpment)	
R	Determine Fundamental Frequency	
	Ta= L+ hn = 0.02- (76)0.75 = 0.966	
	$C_7 = 0.02$ $h_{11} = 176'$ x = 0.75	
	Tat the 0.966=1 na=1.04 is considered rigid	
-	bust Effect Factor	
	$G = 0.925 \left( \frac{11 + 17 qa I \ge Q}{1 + 1.7 qv I \ge} \right)$	
	$I_{z=c} (33/z)^{1/u} = 0.30 (33/99.6)^{1/u} = 0.25$	
	z = 0.30 $z = 0.6 \cdot 166 = 99.6$ $y_{a} = 3.4$ $z_{v} = 3.4$	
	$\frac{0.2}{140.63} = \frac{1}{140.63} = \frac{1}{140.63} = 0.78$	16
_	$B = 202$ $h = 166$ $Lz = L(z/33)^{2} = 320 \cdot (99.6/33)^{1/3} = 462.45$	
	L= 320 ==99.6 T=1/3	



		2-54
Anna	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2-56
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	6 p= 0.808 6 p= 0.808 6 p-Vindward wall - 0.80 6 p-Leavard wall - 0.50 6 L/B= 152/282=0.539 6 p-side wall - 0.70	
	Cpiroof h/L=166/152=1.09 7 1.0 From 0' to 83' → Cp= -1.3 → area reduction - 83.152=12616 = 1000 - 0.8 From 83' to 152' - 2p= -0.7	

							2-9
mi=mh= 3	20.13						
111.3-4	0.5						
(acp)=-	UNIO						
Parapets							
Parmintal							
i prograd	pn						
ap=2027	1.0=1	36.49 w	ind word				
gp=20-21	· 1.1 -	-side 2 1	lecurard		Not Pres	SUCR. 1	
Location	2	0	guep	( SILUCP:)	+ 6Cpi	-C-CP:	
	0'	10,13	6.55	± 3.62	10.17	2,93	
	141	10:12	6.55	= 3.4Z	10.17	2,93	
	21	11.10	7.21	1 3.6.7	10.63	3.59	
	28	12,11	7.83	± 3.62	11,45	4,21	
	35'	12.90	6.34	* BileZ	11.96	4,72	
	12	13.57	8.70	# 3. LeZ	12,40	5.14	
	56'	19.75	9.53	± 3.62	13.15	5.91	
	63'	15.24e	9.86	±3.62	13.48	6.24	
	70'	15.72	10.16	= 3. leZ	13.70	6.54	
Windward	16	1 Leill	10,41	13.4Z	14.03	6.79	
	202	14.90	10.80	1 3.4.7	14.26	7.02	
	941	17.11	11.06	± 3.62	14.16	7.44	
	100	17,41	11,25	13.62	14.87	7.63	
	106	17.63	11.40	13.42	15.02	7.78	
	112	19.00	11.69	1-3.62	15.26	8.02	
	1741	18.52	11.97	321.7	15.59	8.36	
	130	18.77	12.13	±3.42	15.75	8,51	
	136'	19.02	12.29	= 3. Let	15.91	8.67	
	142	19.23	12.43	23.42	4.05	8.81	
	130	19.90	12.59	+ 7.07	16.21	0.97	
	160	19.92	12.50	\$3.62	16.50	9.26	
	166'	20.13	13.01	= 3.42	16.63	9,39	
leeword	146'	20.13	8.13	+3.42	11.75	4.51	
Side wall	166	20,13	-11.39	+2.1.7	-7.77	-15.01	
Ronf (13) to (5)	Lela	20.13	-11.39	1-3-42	-7.52	- 29.74	



2-51 V10= 282-(12.13+8.13) (4)+ 262(12,29+6.13)(4)=68.0.K V11= 202.(12.13+ 8.13)(4)+ 252(12.59+3.13)(6)=69.8K V12=282. (12.74+813)(6)+262(12.95+813)(6)=70.94 VR=262 · (13.01+8.13)(4)+282(34.49+6.13)(4)=111.3K Vh5= 59.3 + 64 + 67.6 + 70.4 + 62.3 + 63.9 + 65.3 + 66.5 + 67.7 + 66.6 + 69.8 + 70.4 + 111.3 = 907.8 k CINERAL

2-60 Wind (North - South Direction) Analyitical Procedure Rish Catagory - I V=90 mph Nd=0.85 Exposure Catigory B Topographic Factor hz+=1.0 (no nearby hill, ridge, or escarpment) Determine Fundamental Frequency Ta= L+hn = 0.02 (176)0.75= 0.966 L+=0.02 hn=176 x=0.75 Ta= ha 0.99/ = ha ha= 1.04 : considered rigid Cust Effect Factor 6=0.925 ((+1.700 1=0)) 1+1.701= I== (133/3) 1/4=0.30 (33/99.4) 1/4=0.25 2=0.20 2=0.6. 164=99.6 80=3.4 8v=3.4 10,63 = 0,817 Q= B+h 0.63 1+0.63 (152+16.6) 1+0.63[[=] V B=152  $h = [u_{4}]_{L_{\overline{z}}} = 0 (z/33)^{\overline{v}} = 320 \cdot (99.6/33)^{1/3} = 402.45$ 1=320 2=99.6 2=1/3



		2-42
	7 hr an (act)	
	0: 0.575 0.00256.0.575.1.0.0.85.902.1.0=10.13	
	1 0,575 10,13	4
	21 0.433 11.16	
	20, 0.487 17.11	
	35 0.732 12.90	
-	49' 6.806 14.21	
	56 0.637 14.75	
	63 0.°04 15.26	
2	74' 0.914 15.12	
	82' 0.934 Ke.46	
	00 0.953 14.00	Contract and
	1241	
	106 1.000 17.63	
	12 1.021 10.00	
	121' 1.051 1862	
	130' 1.065 15.77	
-	136 1.071 19.02	
	148 1:105 19.40	
	154 1.118 19.71	
	166 11/2 2013	
-	$b = \Re b : (b - \eta : \lfloor b \rfloor b )$	
	9=9= @ =	
	(rp=0)625	
	(a-wind world -0.00)	
	-p while and while brod	
	L/B= 282/152= 1.86	
100 miles	Lp-side wall - 0.70	
	$C_{h/L} = 100/202 = 0.509$	
	. from 0' to 63' => (p= -0.972	
. 1	from 03 to 1660-2 Cp = -0.564	

		2					2	-63
	gi = gh = (L Cpi)= Parapets	20,13						
	9p= 20. 9p= 20	27 . 1.1	0 = 3(e	. 49 wind . 2.3 Lea	ward	Net Deer		
	Location.	Z	9	guer	ail6-CPi)	+ GCPi	- GCP:	
Anna -	wind word	0777 1285 228 430 4216 2 00 6 1 6 7 8 9 4 9 5 4 9 4	DIG 1013 1011 11 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	6,69 6,69 6,69 6,69 7,37 7,99 9,51 0,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 10,35 11,09 11,29 11,69 12,55 12,55 12,55 12,55 12,55 13,15 13,15 13,15 13,15	± 3. 62 ± 5	10.31 10.31 10.31 10.31 10.99 11.61 12.13 12.59 13.00 13.36 13.69 14.25 14.25 14.25 14.25 14.25 14.25 14.25 14.71 15.50 15.67 15.69 16.17 16.91 16.31 16.91 16.31 16.91 16.31 16.91 16.26 15.50 15.67 16.91 16.77 16.91	3.07 3.07 3.07 3.07 3.75 4.37 4.89 5.35 6.12 6.76 7.01 7.01 7.07 6.76 7.01 7.07 7.07 7.07 8.26 8.40 8.70 8.907 9.24 9.67 9.67 1.83	
-	side wall Roof (0'-83')	1/26	20,13	-11.63	13.62	-8.01	-15.25	
	Roof (83'-161) Roof (166'-252)	I lola' Ulele'	20,13	-14.35	13.62	-10.73 -5.28	-17.97	



2-45 V10=152.(12.39+5.45)(4)+152.(12.55+5.45)(4)=32.74 VII= 152. (12.64+5.45) (6) + 152. (12.56+5.45) (6)= 33.24 V12=152. (13.01+5.45) (6)+152. (13.15+5.45) (6)=33.64 VR= 152. (13.29+5.45) (6)+152. (36.49+5.45) (6)=55.34 Vns= 24.6+ 29.2+ 31.1+ 32.7+ 29.1+30.0+30.7+31.4+32.1+ 32.7+ 33.2+33.8+55.3= 427.9 h

		2-610
Concerning Conce	seismic Loads	- 44
	site Class C - from Geotechnical Report - soft rock conditions	
	55-0,4008	
	51-0.089g	
	5m5-0.490g	
	Sm1-0.1528	
CHAN	5 DS-0.327g	
R	501-0.1018	
	Seismic Design Catagory	
	0,167g LSDS L0.33g > B	
	0.067450160.1339 -> B	
	Use Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure	
<u> </u>	Ordinary Reinforced concrete shear walls	
	R = 5 $\Omega = 2^{1}/2$ $cd^{1} = 4^{1}/2$	
	I e=1.0	
	Ta = C+hn x = 0.02 · 166 0.75 = 0.925 secs	
	Lt = 0.02 x = 0.7x hn = 1/eLFt	
	$\frac{(S=SD)}{T\left(\frac{R}{Te}\right)} = \frac{0.101}{0.925(\frac{5}{10})} = 0.022$	
	$C_{S} = \frac{50S}{R/I} = \frac{0.327}{\binom{5}{1.0}} = 0.0654$	
	(s= 0.044 Sos I = 0.044.0.327.1.0= 0.0144	
	LS=0.022	

		1		-				2-67
	Determine	Build	ling lifei	ghts				
	Roof= 1	18 pef .	22,500	F+2 = 400	15 k			
	Floor 1	=142	2 psf - 2	4,000 A	2 = 388	IS H		
	Exterior Floor	Walls 1-3 630	oplf.	508.12A	++2100	plf. 206	29 #= 363	.44 h
	Floor Floor Floor	4 = 660 5 = 591 6 = 12 = 5	o plf si o plf si i yo plf .	26.12.4+ 08.12.4+ 508.12.7+	* 220 p * 197 p * 160 pl	4 · 200 4 · 200 4 · 200	.29 ft= 300 .79 ft= 340 .29 ft= 311	74H 43 K 52 H
anew	Shear	Walls	3209.5	ft3 . 14	Owf.	491.4 k		
R	Floor	3	2984.3	Ft3 119	o tet	447.6K		
	Floor Floor	5-8-	2407.9	ft3 · 14	50 pcf	394.7M 361.1M		
	Floor	9	2323	Ft3 1	o fet-	348.5H 361.1H		
	Fx= Lvx	1	21071	ALLER	10 pa	361.11		
	Lux= ws	wihih	V=Ls	W=573	94.3.0	).022=1	262.67 H	
	$h = \frac{0.0}{2}$	125-0.5	5 (2-1)	+1 = 1,21				
	Roof	hx IGG	W × 4677.62	Wxhx H 2,77,004	Cux	Fx 203.79	VX 203.79	
	12	154	4317.62	1.91×104	0.135	170.46	373.75	
	. 9	130	4317.62	1.38×106	0.11	138.89	667.95	
	87	104	4317.62	1.22 × 104	0.09	113.64	907.56	
	G	8Z	4317.62	0.93×105	0.06	75.76	1072.01	
	1 2	54	4447.04	5,00x109	0.05	63.13	1135.19	
	Met -	10	4489.84	9.1×105 21531105	0.03	37,88	1223.53	
		3.40	14/5/01	1. SYMS	11.01	1 17 1.2	7114	

## **Distribution of Loads**



Figure B. Location of Shear Walls

The first step in determining how the loads are distributed to the different shear walls is to determine whether a wall is actually a shear wall or not. In order for a wall to be considered a shear wall, a large portion of the diaphragm must be able to transfer the lateral forces into the wall. A majority of the walls in this building are considered shear walls but due to the geometry of the building, the walls outlined in grey are not shear walls. These walls surround an elevator shaft which makes for a good location to put shear walls; however, the floor slab is not a large enough area to transfer the loads. The walls that were considered are highlighted in red in Figure B. The small walls that are part of the other two elevator shafts are also not considered due to their small size. These walls will only carry an extremely small amount of load compared to the nearby walls so they can be neglected. To aid in dividing up the loads, I named each wall as shown in Figure 3.





Before the loads can be distributed, the center of mass and the center of rigidity must be determined. In order to find the center of mass, the floor can be divided into several areas that have simple geometries; therefore, simplifying the calculation. Figure D shows the breakdown of the different floor areas. A sample calculation of the center of mass and center of rigidity is located in Appendix B.



Figure D. Floor Area Breakdown by Color

Since the lateral system comprises of shear walls, the rigidities of the walls can be easily determined from a few calculations. These calculations can be viewed in the Appendix B. Based on the rigidity calculations, the critical wall in the North/South direction will be wall M. The critical wall in the East/West direction will be wall C. Both of these walls have a high stiffness ratio due to their longer lengths in relationship to the other walls. Figure E shows a chart of the rigidities of all of the walls on the fourth floor.

Wall	R (kip/in)	<b>Reletive Rigidity</b>
A	1285.97	9.17
В	1285.97	9.17
С	1535.72	10.95
D	1535.72	10.95
E	664.38	4.74
F	664.38	4.74
G	460.29	3.28
Н	49.23	0.35
I	697.89	4.98
J	569.97	4.06
К	121.28	0.86
L	635.83	4.53
М	1496.68	10.67
Ν	635.83	4.53
0	1447.81	10.32
Р	784.86	5.60
Q	154.49	1.10

Figure E. Rigidities of the Elements on Fourth Floor

The lateral forces that where calculated as part of the second technical assignment, can now be distributed to the lateral elements. As part of the distribution of the forces, a torsional force must be considered in the calculation if the building's center of mass and center of rigidity do not coincide. In the case of the Children's Medical Office Building, the center of mass and center of rigidity do not align. Figure F shows the locations of the center of mass and the center of rigidity. The difference in the distances between the center of mass and the center of rigidity creates an eccentricity that produces a moment that can add or subtract to the force of an element. Figure G shows the wind story shear distributed to the different lateral elements for the fourth floor. Figure H shows the seismic story shear distributed to the different lateral elements for the fourth floor.



Figure F. Centers of Mass and Rigidity

Wall	Shear	Direct Shear	Torsional Shear	Total Force
А	343.4			
В	343.4			
С	343.4	61.13	54.99	116.12
D	343.4	61.13	38.91	100.04
E	343.4			
F	343.4			
G	343.4	18.31	-7.12	11.19
Н	343.4	1.95	-0.57	1.38
I	343.4	27.80	-10.64	17.16
J	343.4	22.67	-8.67	13.99
К	343.4	4.80	-2.27	2.54
L	343.4	25.29	-16.05	9.24
М	343.4			
N	343.4	25.29	-12.24	13.05
0	343.4	57.61	-22.44	35.18
Р	343.4	31.26	-12.13	19.13
Q	343.4	6.14	-1.78	4.36

Figure G. Distribution	of Wind Forces
------------------------	----------------

Wall	Shear	Direct Shear	Torsional Shear	Accidental Shear	Total Force
А	1185.65	282.47	-6.24	-10.31	265.93
В	1185.65	282.47	-11.67	-19.28	251.53
С	1185.65				
D	1185.65				
E	1185.65	146.01	12.02	19.87	177.90
F	1185.65	146.01	10.29	17.00	173.30
G	1185.65				
Н	1185.65				
I	1185.65				
J	1185.65				
К	1185.65				
L	1185.65				
М	1185.65	328.68	-4.41	-7.29	316.98
Ν	1185.65				
0	1185.65				
Р	1185.65				
Q	1185.65				

Figure H. Distribution of Seismic Forces

## **Strength Checks**

As shown in Figures G and H, the seismic forces are much higher than the wind forces of a given story. This means that the seismic cases are going to control the design of the shear wall. In the case of wall C, the seismic case with a negative accidental torsional moment controls the design of the shear wall, as shown in Figure I. The shear wall has enough reinforcement to resist both the moment and the shear since both the design moment and shear are greater than the actual moment and shear.

Shear Wall Strength Check				
Mu (kip ft.)	φMn (kip ft.)	Vu (kips)	φVn (kips)	
19920	20321	292.6	296.1	

Figure I. S	hear Wall	Check
-------------	-----------	-------

This seismic case also controls the story drift and the overturning moment. The story drift is shown in Figure J along with the code maximum story drift. The story drifts are well below the code maximum drifts. The overturning moment is determined from the story shears and the

height of the building. The building's foundation counteracts the overturning moment along with the building's weight. In the case of the Children's Medical Office Building, the foundations consist of drilled piers and large footings to resist the overturning moment. The foundations underneath the elevators in the south western corner are large footings that are significantly wider than the shears walls that they support. The width of the footing is determined by the overturning moment that the footing has to counteract. The building's weight is high enough to counteract the overturning moment as shown in Figure K. For the drift of the building, the wind load case 1 applied in the East/West direction controls. The calculated drift is below the code maximum. The building drift is shown in Figure L.

Floor Height	Max. Drift Allowable by Code	Δ
166	3.32	0.059
154	3.08	0.050
142	2.84	0.045
130	2.6	0.041
118	2.36	0.047
106	2.12	0.033
94	1.88	0.026
82	1.64	0.022
70	1.4	0.045
56	1.12	0.018
42	0.84	0.014
28	0.56	0.009
14	0.28	0.005

Figure J. Story Drifts

Quarturning Moment	Moment Produced	
Overturning Moment	by Building Weight	
496375.5 ft-kips	4225837 ft-kips	

Figure K. Overturning Moment

Code Maximum	Calculated Drfit
4.98 in.	4.77 in.

Figure L. Building Drift

# **Building Torsional Irregularities**

Since the building's seismic design category is B, the only torsional irregularity is the out-ofplane offsets. My building does not have any discontinuities in the lateral force resisting path; therefore, there are no torsional irregularities in this building. For vertical structural irregularities, my building is exempt from applying the torsional factors since there is no reduction in stiffness in the elements and there story lateral strength is not less than 80% of the story above.

# Appendix A - Floor Plan with Typical Bay and Columns



Typical bay is outlined in red. Interior and exterior columns are outlined in green.

## **Appendix B Sample Calculations**





	Shear wall Strength Check of wall a	
	U= 0.9D+ 1.0E	
	Mu= 1.0. 100 . =13. 166= 19920 Kip. Pt	
	T= As. fy= 12. 1.27.60= 91414 hips	
	d = Lw - (LSin + 2.9in) = 212 - 19.5 = 192.5 in	
	Nu= 0.9 ND= 0.9 (440+80-12)= 1260 hips	
<b>DHIM</b>	150 163 . 17 1074 . 166 Ft . 1. 0 Ft = 440 Kips	
R	150165.32ft. 20ft.10 = 80 Kips per floor ft3	
	$\alpha = \frac{1 + Nu}{0.55 \cdot E \cdot b} = \frac{414.4 + 1260}{0.55 \cdot 6 \cdot 12in} = 35.53in$	
	$\mathcal{D}Mn = \mathcal{D}\left[T\left[d-\frac{a}{2}\right] + Nu\left[\frac{Lw-a}{2}\right]\right]$	
-	0.9 [ 914.4 (192.5-35.53)+ 1260 (212-35.53)]	
	@Mn=20321.5 7 19920 OK	
	Npr= No+ NL= 1260 kips + 300 kips = 1560 kips	•
	$a = \frac{T + N_{\text{Mar}}}{0.85 + C \cdot D} = \frac{414.4 + 1540}{0.85 \cdot (c \cdot 12)} = 40.4 \text{ in}.$	
	$Mpr = T\left(d - \frac{q}{2}\right) + Npr\left(\frac{Lw-q}{2}\right)$	a a
	=914.4(192.5 - 40.9) + 1660(212 - 40.9)	
	= 24283, kip.ft	
	$Vu(up-based) = \frac{Mpr}{0.5hw} = \frac{24253}{0.5\cdot14u} = \frac{292.1chips}{292.1chips}$	
	$Acv = hLw = 12in \cdot 212 = 2540in^2$	
	ac= 2.0 Islander well)	

pt = <u>Authoriz</u> = <u>2.0.31</u> = 0.00431 70.0025 hsz 12in 12in Vn= Acu (ac) (F'2+ p+fy) = 2544.(2.0.1.0.16000 + 0.00431.60) = 394,70 h 6.2544. 12000 = 1576.5 Kips Øvn=0.75. 394.78k= 296.1 kips = 292.6 kips Dimmon of